

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/812,795	Applicant(s) SAITO ET AL.
	Examiner ALBERT H. CUTLER	Art Unit 2622

All Participants:**Status of Application:** N/A(1) ALBERT H. CUTLER.

(3) _____.

(2) W. William Park (Reg. 55,523).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2008**Time:** 10:45 AM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner pointed out that claims 7 and 12 recite the same subject matter, and proposed entering an Examiner's Amendment cancelling claim 12 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Park agreed to the proposed Examiner's Amendment.